

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address CoMMISSICORER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
was aspton 10 (2023)
www.ospo.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 812,880	03 21 2001	Myron Eugene Taylor	RGE 2001-1	1187
7	7590 04 24 2003			

RANDALL G. ERDLEY 15201 SPRINGFIELD RD. DARNESTOWN, MD 20874 EXAMINER
FERNANDEZ, KALIMAH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2881

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/812,880	TAYLOR, MYRON	EUGENE		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kalimah Fernandez	2881			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence add	lress		
A SHO THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maid of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, managery within the statutory minimum or and will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this concept the model of the concept that the mailing date of the concept that the mailing date of this concept that the mailing date of this concept that the mailing date of this concept that the mailing date of the concept that the mailing date of the mai	mmunication		
Status 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
²a)[_	1	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims	er Ex parte Quayre, 1000	70.5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.			
4)[`	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[:	Claim(s) <u>1- 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement	t.			
	on Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to			or.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	51.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	CXammer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ing majority under 25 H S	C & 110(a) (d) or (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 55 O.C	5.0. g 119(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
) a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	anta haya baan racciyed				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
				Stage		
*	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the paper of t	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	ciago		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domo			l application).		
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application h	as been received.			
Attachme		•				
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	(s)		



Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No. 5,635,720 issued to Mooney et al and US Pat No. 3,887,827 issued to Katayama and in further view of US Pat No. 4,891,522 issued to Coon et al.
- 3. Mooney et al teaches a scintillator (22) for an electron microscope (col.2, lines 48-55).
- 4. Mooney et al teaches a disc shaped structure of optically clear material (e.g. glass optic fiber plate) (col. 4, lines 6-18).
- 5. Mooney et al teaches an indium tin oxide coating (40) (col.4, lines 55-62).
- 6. Mooney et al teaches an adhesive means (44) (col.5, lines 9-14).
- 7. Mooney et al teaches a scintillator material (22) is electrically connected to said outer surface on said indium tin oxide coating (40) via adhesive coating (44) (col.4, lines 55-67; see fig. 2).
- 8. Mooney et al does not teach an electrically conductive retaining ring and an extending lip.
- 9. However, Katayama teaches an electrically conductive retaining ring (22) having a radially inwardly extending lip on one end of said ring (see fig. 1; col.3, lines 20-37).



Art Unit: 2881

- 10. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled artisan to combine the teachings of Mooney et al and Katayama, since Katayama teaches improved fabrication to protect from mechanical and thermal shock during fabrication (col.2, lines 40-47).
- 11. Neither Mooney et al nor Katayama teaches an electrically conductive adhesive means. However, Coon et al teaches the use of electrically conductive adhesive means in a particle detector (col.4, lines 50-68).
- 12. Coon et al teaches security of the chips (27) to the carrier by an electrically conductive attachment means (29) (col.4, lines 50-64).
- 13. It would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled artisan to combine the teachings of Coon et al into the obvious combination of Mooney et al and Katayama as set forth above since Coon et al teaches the ease of application of parts (col.4, lines 59-62).
- 14. As per claims 2-3, neither Mooney et al nor Coon et al explicitly teach a retaining ring made of solid gold, however Katayama does teach an electrically conductive ring. Therefore, any suitable conductive material can be used to carry out his invention whereas gold is a notoriously well-known electrical conductor used in the electrical industry. Further the limitation of the use of silver solder is indeed well-known in the art and relevant arts and Coon et al teaching of "conducting epoxy" for use as an electrically conductive attachment would obviously infer the use of silver solder (col.4, lines 65-68).
- 15. As per claim 4, the obvious combination of Mooney et al, Katayama, and Coon et al teaches said fabrication method.



Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US Pat No 5,932,880 issued to Koguchi et al, US Pat No. 3,919,582, US Pat No 4,940,919 issued Enck et al, US Pat No 6,414,309 issued to Mooney et al, US Pat No 5,990,483 issued to Shariv et al, US Pat No 5,866,907 issued to Drukier et al, US Pat No 6,265,812 issued to Watanbe et al, and US Pat No 6,051,834 issued to Kakibayashi et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalimah Fernandez whose telephone number is 703-305-6310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thus between 8:30am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/812,880

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

kf April 21, 2003

SHOUTH THE TOTAL T